

Gauge symmetries decrease the number of Dp-brane dimensions ^{*}

B. Nikolić [†] and B. Sazdović [‡]

Institute of Physics, 11001 Belgrade, P.O.Box 57, Serbia

February 1, 2008

Abstract

It is known that the presence of antisymmetric background field $B_{\mu\nu}$ leads to non-commutativity of Dp-brane manifold. Addition of the linear dilaton field in the form $\Phi(x) = \Phi_0 + a_\mu x^\mu$, causes the appearance of the commutative Dp-brane coordinate $x = a_\mu x^\mu$. In the present article we show that for some particular choices of the background fields, $a^2 \equiv G^{\mu\nu} a_\mu a_\nu = 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \equiv [(G - 4BG^{-1}B)^{-1}]^{\mu\nu} a_\mu a_\nu = 0$, the local gauge symmetries appear in the theory. They turn some Neuman boundary conditions into the Dirichlet ones, and consequently decrease the number of the Dp-brane dimensions.

PACS number(s): 11.10.Nx, 04.20.Fy, 11.25.-w

1 Introduction

Quantization of the open string ending on the Dp-brane, with constant metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ and antisymmetric tensor Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$, leads to the noncommutativity of the Dp-brane manifold [1]. Inclusion of the dilaton field Φ linear in x^μ was studied in [2]-[4]. In ref.[2], the Dirichlet boundary conditions were constructed, while in refs.[3, 4], the noncommutativity structure was analyzed. Ref.[4] considers the conformal part of the world-sheet metric F as a dynamical variable, introducing an additional boundary condition corresponding to F . It becomes a new noncommutative variable, while Dp-brane coordinate in the direction of the dilaton gradient $a_i = \partial_i \Phi$, turns into a commutative one.

^{*}Work supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Science and Environmental Protection, under contract No. 141036.

[†]e-mail address: bnikolic@phy.bg.ac.yu

[‡]e-mail address: sazdovic@phy.bg.ac.yu

Let us clarify the role of the field F in the open and closed string theory. In presence of the dilaton field, the classical action as well as the Neumann boundary conditions explicitly depend on F and break conformal invariance. On the space-time field equations the field F decouples on the quantum level from the closed string theory. These conditions do not guarantee that it decouples from the open string theory, because the contribution of the boundary conditions should be investigated. The purpose of this article is to check whether open string theory depends on the field F .

We consider some particular cases when a_i is light-like vector, with respect to the closed string metric G_{ij} , $a^2 = G^{ij}a_i a_j = 0$, as well as to the effective one G_{ij}^{eff} , $\tilde{a}^2 = (G_{eff}^{-1})^{ij}a_i a_j = 0$. As a consequence of these conditions, the first class constraints and local gauge symmetries appear. These gauge symmetries have different origins. In the first case, a^2 is a coefficient in front of $\dot{F}$, so that condition $a^2 = 0$ produces the standard canonical constraint, which is of the first class. In the second case, some of the constraints originated from the boundary conditions, for $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$ turn from the second class into the first class constraints.

As a consequence of gauge symmetries, some of the initial Dp-brane coordinates change the corresponding boundary conditions from Neuman to Dirichlet and decrease the number of the Dp-brane dimensions. The closed string coordinates, which depend on the open string ones but also on the corresponding momenta, define the noncommutative subspace of Dp-brane. The noncommutativity parameter is proportional to the antisymmetric field B_{ij} . Some closed string coordinates depend only on the open string ones and they are the commutative directions of Dp-brane.

In all cases, solving the second class constraints, we find the effective theory. It is again the string theory defined in terms of the open string variables, symmetric under transformation $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$ and propagating in new open string background.

At the end we shortly discuss the another possibility to cancel conformal anomaly by introducing Liouville action, which is kinetic term for the field F . The results obtained in this framework are in full correspondence with the results in absence of the Liouville action, which will be discussed in concluding remarks.

The appendix is devoted to some geometrical tools, which help us to express the results clearly.

2 Action and space-time field equations

Let us introduce action describing the open string propagation in the background defined by the space-time metric $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$, Kalb-Ramond antisymmetric field $B_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and dilaton

scalar field $\Phi(x)$ [5]

$$S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}(x) \right] \partial_{\alpha} x^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} x^{\nu} + \Phi(x) R^{(2)} \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here, x^{μ} ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, D-1$) are coordinates of the D dimensional space-time and ξ^{α} ($\alpha = 0, 1$) parameterize the two dimensional world-sheet Σ . Further, $R^{(2)}$ denotes the scalar curvature related to the intrinsic world-sheet metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$, while $g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$. The antisymmetric tensor $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ is two dimensional ε -symbol with the adopted convention $\varepsilon^{01} = -1$. The constant $\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}$ is known as string tension, where α' is Regge slope. The following notation is used: $\partial_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}$, $\partial_{\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$, $\xi^0 = \tau$ and $\xi^1 = \sigma$ ($\sigma \in [0, \pi]$).

The goal of introducing dilaton field is to preserve classical Weyl symmetry on the quantum level [6]. It is realized under the following conditions [7]

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^G \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B_{\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} + 2D_{\mu} a_{\nu} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^B \equiv D_{\rho} B^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} - 2a_{\rho} B^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\beta^{\Phi} \equiv 4\pi\kappa \frac{D-26}{3} - R + \frac{1}{12} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B^{\mu\rho\sigma} - 4D_{\mu} a^{\mu} + 4a^2 = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$, R and D_{μ} are space-time Ricci tensor, scalar curvature and covariant derivative, respectively, $B_{\mu\rho\sigma}$ is field strength of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and the vector a_{μ} is the gradient of the dilaton field.

The background fields in the form [5]

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x) = G_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}, \quad B_{\mu\nu}(x) = B_{\mu\nu} = \text{const},$$

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_0 + a_{\mu} x^{\mu}, \quad (a_{\mu} = \text{const})$$

are an exact solution of the above equations for

$$a^2 = \kappa\pi \frac{26-D}{3}. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that for $a^2 = 0$ the theory describes the critical string ($D = 26$) and for $a^2 > 0$ the noncritical one ($D < 26$).

By $x^i(\xi)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$) we denote Dp-brane coordinates and by $x^a(\xi)$ ($a = p+1, p+2, \dots, D-1$) the orthogonal ones so that $G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for $\mu = i$ and $\nu = a$. The part of the action (2.1) describing the free string propagation in x^a directions decouples from the rest. In order to simplify the problem, we assume that the background fields $B_{\mu\nu}$ and a_{μ} are nontrivial only along Dp-brane: $B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{ij}$, $a_{\mu} \rightarrow a_i$.

Choosing the conformal gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2F} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$, we obtain

$$S(x^i, F) = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} G_{ij} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{ij} \right) \partial_{\alpha} x^i \partial_{\beta} x^j + 2\eta^{\alpha\beta} a_i \partial_{\alpha} x^i \partial_{\beta} F \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Classically, it is not conformally invariant, because it depends on the conformal part of the metric, F .

3 Noncommutativity in the case $a^2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$

In order to introduce notation and to have possibility to discuss all cases from an unique point of view, let us make a brief review of refs.[4, 8].

The canonical Hamiltonian

$$H_c = \int_0^\pi d\sigma \mathcal{H}_c = \int_0^\pi d\sigma (T_- - T_+), \quad (3.1)$$

is expressed in terms of the energy-momentum tensor components

$$T_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left(G^{ij} J_{\pm i} J_{\pm j} + \frac{j}{a^2} i_\pm^\Phi \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(i_\pm^{\Phi'} - F' i_\pm^\Phi \right). \quad (3.2)$$

The currents on the Dp-brane are

$$J_\pm^i = (P_T^0)^{ij} j_{\pm j} + \frac{a^i}{2a^2} i_\pm^\Phi = j_\pm^i - \frac{a^i}{a^2} j, \quad (3.3)$$

$$j_{\pm i} = \pi_i + 2\kappa \Pi_{\pm ij} x'^j, \quad \left(\Pi_{\pm ij} = B_{ij} \pm \frac{1}{2} G_{ij} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

$$i_\pm^\Phi = \pi \pm 2\kappa a_i x'^i, \quad j = a^i j_{\pm i} - \frac{1}{2} i_\pm^\Phi, \quad (3.5)$$

$$i_\pm^F = \frac{a^i}{a^2} j_{\pm i} - \frac{1}{2a^2} i_\pm^\Phi \pm 2\kappa F', \quad (3.6)$$

where the projector $(P_T^0)_i^j$ is defined in (A.5). We use the notation $\partial_\sigma X = X'$, for any variable X . The canonical momenta conjugated to x^i and F are denoted by π_i and π , respectively. The Poisson brackets between canonical Hamiltonian and the currents $J_{\pm i}$, $i_\pm^\Phi$ and $i_\pm^F$ are

$$\{H_c, J_{\pm i}\} = \mp J'_{\pm i}, \quad \{H_c, i_\pm^\Phi\} = \mp i'^\Phi_{\pm}, \quad \{H_c, i_\pm^F\} = \mp i'^F_{\pm}. \quad (3.7)$$

3.1 Boundary conditions and consistency procedure

The Neuman boundary conditions corresponding to the fields x^i and F are of the form $\gamma_i^{(0)} \Big|_0^\pi = 0$ and $\gamma^{(0)} \Big|_0^\pi = 0$, where

$$\gamma_i^{(0)} = \Pi_{+ij} J_-^j + \Pi_{-ij} J_+^j + \frac{a_i}{2} (i_-^F - i_+^F), \quad \gamma^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (i_-^\Phi - i_+^\Phi). \quad (3.8)$$

They are considered as canonical constraints. Assuming that G_{ij} , B_{ij} and a_i are constant and applying the standard Dirac procedure, with the help of the relations (3.7), we obtain a set of compact conditions

$$\Gamma_i(\sigma) = \Pi_{+ij} J_-^j(\sigma) + \Pi_{-ij} J_+^j(-\sigma) + \frac{a_i}{2} [i_-^F(\sigma) - i_+^F(-\sigma)], \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left[i_-^\Phi(\sigma) - i_+^\Phi(-\sigma) \right], \quad (3.10)$$

and conclude that the canonical variables are 2π periodic functions.

The Poisson brackets between canonical Hamiltonian and constraints Γ_i and Γ are equal to their sigma derivatives, which means that there are no more constraints in the theory and we completed the consistency procedure.

The complete set of the constraint algebra is of the form

$$\{\chi_A(\sigma), \chi_B(\bar{\sigma})\} = -\kappa M_{AB} \delta', \quad \chi_A = (\Gamma_i, \Gamma), \quad [\delta' = \partial_\sigma \delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})], \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{ij} & 2a_i \\ 2a_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

To the space-time component

$$\tilde{G}_{ij} = G_{ij} - 4B_{ik}(P_T^0)^{kl}B_{lj} = (\hat{P}_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij}, \quad (G_{ij}^{eff} = G_{ij} - 4B_{ik}G^{kl}B_{lj}), \quad (3.13)$$

we will refer as the open string metric, where $(\hat{P}_T^1)_i^j$ is defined in eq.(A.9).

The determinant

$$\det M_{AB} = -4\tilde{a}^2 \det \tilde{G}_{ij}, \quad (3.14)$$

shows that for $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$ and $a^2 \neq 0$, which we assume in this section, the rank of M_{AB} is equal to $p+2$ and all constraints are of the second class (except the zero modes, see [9]).

3.2 Solution of the second class constraints and noncommutativity

In terms of the open string variables

$$q^i(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [x^i(\sigma) + x^i(-\sigma)], \quad \bar{q}^i(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [x^i(\sigma) - x^i(-\sigma)], \quad (3.15)$$

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [F(\sigma) + F(-\sigma)], \quad \bar{f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [F(\sigma) - F(-\sigma)], \quad (3.16)$$

(with similar expressions for momenta $p_i, \bar{p}_i, p$ and $\bar{p}$), the constraints $\Gamma_i(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma(\sigma)$ take the form

$$\Gamma_i = 2(BP_T^0)_i^j p_j + \frac{1}{a^2} (Ba)_i p - \kappa \tilde{G}_{ij} \bar{q}'^j - 2\kappa a_i \bar{f}' + \bar{p}_i, \quad \Gamma = \bar{p} - 2\kappa a_i \bar{q}'^i. \quad (3.17)$$

Solving the constraint equations $\Gamma_i(\sigma) = 0$ and $\Gamma(\sigma) = 0$, we can express the closed string variables in terms of the open string ones

$$\pi_i = p_i, \quad x^i(\sigma) = q^i(\sigma) - 2 \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 [\Theta^{ij} p_j(\sigma_1) + \Theta^i p(\sigma_1)], \quad (3.18)$$

$$\pi = p, \quad F(\sigma) = f(\sigma) + 2\Theta^i \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 p_i(\sigma_1), \quad (3.19)$$

where the parameters are defined as

$$\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}(\tilde{P}_T B P_T^0)^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1} \Pi_T^0 B G^{-1} \Pi_T^0)^{ij}, \quad \Theta^i = \frac{(a B \tilde{G}^{-1})^i}{2\kappa a^2} = \frac{(\tilde{a} B G^{-1})^i}{2\kappa \tilde{a}^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

with

$$(\tilde{P}_T)^{ij} = (G_{eff}^{-1})^{ik} [\delta_k^j - (P_1)_k^j - (\Pi_0)_k^j]. \quad (3.21)$$

The projectors $(\Pi_T^0)_i^j$ and $(P_1)_i^j$ are introduced in (A.6) and (A.8).

The noncommutativity tensor Θ^{ij} has a clear geometrical interpretation. The induced close string metric g_{ij} on the p dimensional submanifold orthogonal to vector a_i is $(P_T^0 G)_{ij}$, while the corresponding open string one $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ is $(\tilde{P}_T)_{ij}$. Consequently, in terms of the induced metrics on D_{p-1} -brane, the noncommutativity parameter for x^i variables has the same form as in the dilaton free case

$$\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}(\tilde{g}^{-1} B g^{-1})^{ij}. \quad (3.22)$$

We are going now to find the effective theory in terms of the open string variables. In analogy with the closed string currents (3.3)-(3.5), we introduce open string currents denoted by $\tilde{i}_\pm^\Phi$, $\tilde{j}_{\pm i}$, $\tilde{j}$ and $\tilde{J}_\pm^i$ substituting the closed string variables and metric tensor with open ones and omitting the antisymmetric field. Correlating the closed string currents with the open string currents, we obtain

$$T_\pm = \tilde{T}_\pm, \quad \mathcal{H}_c = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c, \quad (3.23)$$

where we introduced effective energy momentum tensor and Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{T}_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left[(\tilde{G}^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{J}_{\pm i} \tilde{J}_{\pm j} + \frac{\tilde{j}}{\tilde{a}^2} \tilde{i}_\pm^\Phi \right] + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{i}'_\pm^\Phi - f' \tilde{i}_\pm^\Phi), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c = \tilde{T}_- - \tilde{T}_+. \quad (3.24)$$

Therefore, the effective theory has the same form as the original one, but in terms of the symmetric variables q^i , f and corresponding momenta p_i , p in new background $G_{ij} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{ij}$, $B_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_0 + a_i q^i$.

Using Poisson brackets of the closed string variables, we can calculate the algebra of the open string ones

$$\{q^i(\tau, \sigma), p_j(\tau, \bar{\sigma})\} = \delta_j^i \delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}), \quad \{f(\tau, \sigma), p(\tau, \bar{\sigma})\} = \delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}), \quad (3.25)$$

where the symmetric delta function is

$$\delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} [\delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) + \delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma})]. \quad (3.26)$$

Now, it is easy to calculate Poisson brackets

$$\{x^i(\sigma), x^j(\bar{\sigma})\} = 2\Theta^{ij} \theta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad (3.27)$$

$$\{x^i(\sigma), F(\bar{\sigma})\} = 2\Theta^i \theta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad \{F(\sigma), F(\bar{\sigma})\} = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

where the function $\theta(x)$ is defined as

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } 0 < x < 2\pi \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2\pi \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

It is useful to separate the center of mass closed string coordinates

$$x_{cm}^i = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\sigma x^i(\sigma), \quad x^i(\sigma) = x_{cm}^i + X^i(\sigma), \quad (3.30)$$

$$F_{cm} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\sigma F(\sigma), \quad F(\sigma) = F_{cm} + \mathcal{F}(\sigma), \quad (3.31)$$

so that we have

$$\{X^i(\sigma), X^j(\bar{\sigma})\} = \Theta^{ij} \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad (3.32)$$

$$\{X^i(\sigma), \mathcal{F}(\bar{\sigma})\} = \Theta^i \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad \{\mathcal{F}(\sigma), \mathcal{F}(\bar{\sigma})\} = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$\Delta(x) = 2\theta(x) - 1 = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < 2\pi \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2\pi \end{cases} \quad (3.34)$$

Therefore, the variables X^i and $\mathcal{F}$ are commutative in the interior of string, while on the string endpoints they are noncommutative.

As a consequence of the relations $a_i \Theta^{ij} = 0$ and $a_i \Theta^i = 0$, coordinate x_0 [see eq.(A.17)] becomes a commutative one. On the other hand, F is the new noncommutative variable and the number of the noncommutative variables is the same as in the dilaton free case.

4 Noncommutativity in the case $a^2 = 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$

In simple dynamical theories velocities (time derivatives of the coordinates) can be expressed in terms of the canonical momenta. However, when the coefficient in front of velocity is equal to zero, there appears a constraint in the theory (see for instance ref.[10]).

Here we are going to investigate a particular case when the gradient of dilaton field a_i is light-like vector with respect to the closed string metric G_{ij} , $a^2 = 0$. This condition causes the existence of a first class constraint.

4.1 Canonical analysis

The canonical momenta conjugated to x^i and F

$$\pi_i = \kappa(G_{ij}\dot{x}^j - 2B_{ij}x'^j + 2a_i\dot{F}), \quad \pi = 2\kappa a_i \dot{x}^i, \quad (4.1)$$

can be combined as

$$j \equiv a^i \pi_i - \frac{1}{2}\pi + 2\kappa a^i B_{ij}x'^j = 2\kappa a^2 \dot{F}, \quad (4.2)$$

which means that, for $a^2 = 0$, j is a constraint of the theory.

The canonical Hamiltonian obtained by standard definition $\mathcal{H}_c = \pi_i \dot{x}^i + \pi \dot{F} - \mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \pi_i G^{ij} \pi_j + \frac{\kappa}{2} x'^i G_{ij}^{eff} x'^j + 2\pi_i (G^{-1}B)^i_j x'^j + 2\kappa a_i x'^i F', \quad (4.3)$$

can be expressed in terms of currents

$$j_{\pm i}^* = j_{\pm i} \pm 2\kappa a_i F', \quad (4.4)$$

in the form

$$\mathcal{H}_c = T_- - T_+ = \frac{1}{4\kappa} G^{ij} (j_{-i}^* j_{-j}^* + j_{+i}^* j_{+j}^*). \quad (4.5)$$

The constraint j has the same form in terms of the Dp-brane currents (3.4) as in terms of the currents $j_{\pm i}^*$

$$j = a^i j_{\pm i} - \frac{1}{2} i_{\pm}^{\Phi} = a^i j_{\pm i}^* - \frac{1}{2} i_{\pm}^{\Phi}. \quad (4.6)$$

To investigate the theory with constraints, we introduce total Hamiltonian

$$H_T = \int d\sigma \mathcal{H}_T, \quad \mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_c + \lambda j, \quad (4.7)$$

where λ is a Lagrange multiplier.

Using the Poisson brackets of the basic canonical variables, we obtain

$$\{j_{\pm i}^*, j_{\pm j}^*\} = \pm 2\kappa G_{ij} \delta', \quad \{j_{\pm i}^*, i_{\pm}^{\Phi}\} = \pm 4\kappa a_i \delta', \quad (4.8)$$

while all opposite chirality currents commute. It is easy to show that

$$\{j_{\pm i}^*, j\} = 0, \quad \{H_T, j\} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

and consequently j is a first class constraint. So, the theory is invariant under some local symmetry.

The gauge transformation of any variable X is defined in terms of symmetry generator G in the form

$$\delta_{\eta} X = \{X, G\}, \quad G \equiv \int d\sigma \eta(\sigma) j(\sigma), \quad (4.10)$$

which produces

$$\delta_\eta x^i = a^i \eta, \quad \delta_\eta F = -\frac{1}{2} \eta, \quad \delta_\eta \pi_i = 2\kappa a^j B_{ji} \eta', \quad \delta_\eta \pi = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

The transformation of the intrinsic metric tensor has form

$$\delta_\eta g_{\alpha\beta} = -\eta g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.12)$$

and we recognize the two dimensional Weyl symmetry as a part of the above gauge symmetry.

4.2 Gauge fixing and solution of constraints

The gauge freedom allows us to fix one degree of freedom. We choose $F = 0$ and after that we can treat j and F as second class constraints. On the constraints we have

$$J_{\pm i} \rightarrow j_{\pm i}, \quad i_{\pm}^F \rightarrow 0, \quad i_{\pm}^\Phi \rightarrow 2a^i j_{\pm i}, \quad (4.13)$$

so that the boundary conditions (3.8) take the form

$$\gamma_i^{(0)} = \Pi_{+i} j j_{-j} + \Pi_{-i} j j_{+j}, \quad \gamma^{(0)} = a^i (j_{-i} - j_{+i}). \quad (4.14)$$

They are considered as canonical constraints. Examining the consistency of the constraints, we obtain

$$\Gamma_i(\sigma) = \Pi_{+i} j j_{-j}(\sigma) + \Pi_{-i} j j_{+j}(-\sigma), \quad \Gamma(\sigma) = a^i [j_{-i}(\sigma) - j_{+i}(-\sigma)], \quad (4.15)$$

which satisfy algebra

$$\{\Gamma_i(\sigma), \Gamma_j(\bar{\sigma})\} = -\kappa G_{ij}^{eff} \delta', \quad \{\Gamma_i(\sigma), \Gamma(\bar{\sigma})\} = -2\kappa a_i \delta', \quad \{\Gamma(\sigma), \Gamma(\bar{\sigma})\} = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

The Poisson brackets of the complete set of the constraints $\chi_A = (\Gamma_i, \Gamma)$ can be written in a matrix form

$$\{\chi_A(\sigma), \chi_B(\bar{\sigma})\} = -\kappa M_{AB} \delta', \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij}^{eff} & 2a_i \\ 2a_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.18)$$

We assume that $\det G_{ij}^{eff} \neq 0$ and with the help of the relation

$$\det M_{AB} = -4\tilde{a}^2 \det G_{ij}^{eff}, \quad (4.19)$$

we conclude that for $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$ all constraints originated from boundary conditions are of the second class.

In terms of the open string variables introduced in (3.15)-(3.16), the constraint equations, $\Gamma_i(\sigma) = 0$ and $\Gamma(\sigma) = 0$, produce

$$\bar{p}_i = 0, \quad \bar{q}'^i = \frac{2}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1}BG^{-1})^{ij}p_j, \quad (4.20)$$

$$a_i\bar{q}'^i = 0, \quad a^i\bar{p}_i + 2\kappa(aB)_iq'^i = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Treating gauge fixing and the first class constraints as second class constraints, from $j = 0$ and $F = 0$ we obtain additional equations

$$a^ip_i - \frac{1}{2}p + 2\kappa(aB)_i\bar{q}'^i = 0, \quad a^i\bar{p}_i - \frac{1}{2}\bar{p} + 2\kappa(aB)_iq'^i = 0, \quad f = 0, \quad \bar{f} = 0. \quad (4.22)$$

From the first equation in (4.20) and second in (4.21) follows $(aB)_iq'^i = 0$, which in notation of (A.17) can be rewritten as $q'_1 = 0$. The second equation in (4.20) and the first equation in (4.21) give $(\tilde{a}B)^ip_i = 0$ i.e. $p_1 = 0$. Consequently, the string dynamics is described by the following coordinates and momenta

$$(q_T^1)^i = (P_T^1)^i{}_jq^j \equiv Q^i \quad (\pi_T^1)_i = (P_T^1)^j{}_ip_j \equiv P_i, \quad (4.23)$$

where the projector P_T^1 is defined in (A.8). Now, we can rewrite (4.20) in the form $\bar{q}'^i = \frac{2}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1}BG^{-1}P_T^1)^{ij}P_j$. It is useful to separate it into direction along $(aB)_i$ and the orthogonal one $\bar{q}'^i = \bar{q}_1'^i + (\bar{q}_T^1)^i$

$$(\bar{q}_T^1)^i = -2\Theta^{ij}P_j, \quad \bar{q}_1'^i = \frac{2}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1}P_1BG^{-1})^{ij}P_j, \quad (4.24)$$

so that tensor

$$\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1}P_T^1BG^{-1}P_T^1)^{ij}, \quad (4.25)$$

is antisymmetric. Substituting expression for $\bar{q}'^i$ in the first equation (4.22), we have $p = 2\tilde{a}^iP_i$.

The final solution of the equations (4.20)-(4.22) is

$$x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = Q^i(\sigma) - 2\Theta^{ij} \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 P_j(\sigma_1), \quad \pi_i^{D_p} = P_i, \quad (4.26)$$

$$x_1(\sigma) = \frac{2}{\kappa}(\tilde{a}B^2G^{-1})^i \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 P_i(\sigma_1), \quad \pi_1 = 0, \quad (4.27)$$

$$F = 0, \quad \pi = 2\tilde{a}^iP_i, \quad (4.28)$$

where we choose integration constant $\bar{q}'^i(\sigma = 0) = 0$. From (4.27) and periodicity of P_i follows that x_1 satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions i.e. $x_1(\sigma = 0) = 0 = x_1(\sigma = \pi)$.

4.3 Effective theory and noncommutativity

Let us introduce effective current

$$\tilde{j}_{\pm i} = P_i \pm \kappa(P_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij} Q'^j, \quad (4.29)$$

and correlate it with $j_{\pm i}^*$ defined in (4.4)

$$j_{\pm i}^* = \pm 2(\Pi_{\pm} G_{eff}^{-1})_i{}^j \tilde{j}_{\pm j}. \quad (4.30)$$

Substituting this relation in (4.5), we obtain the effective Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c = \tilde{T}_- - \tilde{T}_+, \quad \tilde{T}_{\pm} = \mp \frac{1}{4\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1} P_T^1)^{ij} \tilde{j}_{\pm i} \tilde{j}_{\pm j} \quad (4.31)$$

From definitions of the current $\tilde{j}_{\pm i}$ and the expression for the energy-momentum tensor $\tilde{T}_{\pm}$ we can conclude that the effective metric tensors are

$$g_{ij}^{eff} = (P_T^1 G^{eff})_{ij}, \quad g_{eff}^{ij} = (G_{eff}^{-1} P_T^1)^{ij}. \quad (4.32)$$

In fact they are induced metrics on subspace defined by the projector P_T^1 . This projector also plays the role of unity in this subspace $g_{ik}^{eff} g_{eff}^{kj} = (P_T^1)_i{}^j$.

Effective theory is expressed in terms of the open string variables, Q^i and P_i , which satisfy the algebra

$$\{Q^i(\sigma), P_j(\bar{\sigma})\} = (P_T^1)_j{}^i \delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}), \quad (4.33)$$

in the background

$$G_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij}^{eff}, \quad B_{ij} \rightarrow 0, \quad \Phi \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.34)$$

Using the solution (4.26) we find the noncommutativity relation

$$\{x_{D_p}^i(\tau, \sigma), x_{D_p}^j(\tau, \bar{\sigma})\} = 2\Theta^{ij} \theta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad (4.35)$$

where the function $\theta(x)$ is defined in eq.(3.29). If we separate the center of mass variables in the way described in (3.30)-(3.31), $x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = (x_{D_p}^i)_{cm} + X_{D_p}^i(\sigma)$, we obtain

$$\{X_{D_p}^i(\sigma), X_{D_p}^j(\bar{\sigma})\} = \Theta^{ij} \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad (4.36)$$

where the function $\Delta(x)$ is defined in (3.34).

The solution (4.27) for the closed string coordinate x_1 satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions, while the conformal part of the intrinsic metric F satisfies these boundary conditions automatically, because $F = 0$. So, the number of Dp-brane dimensions decreases from $p + 2$ to p . On the other hand, we have $a_i Q^i \neq 0$ and $a_i \Theta^{ij} = 0$, so that $x_c = a_i x_{D_p}^i = a_i Q^i$ is commutative coordinate, because it is momentum independent variable. There are one commutative coordinate, in the direction of the dilaton gradient a_i , and $p - 1$ noncommutative ones.

5 Noncommutativity in the case $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$ and $a^2 \neq 0$

As a consequence of (3.11), the singularity of the matrix M_{AB} turns some constraints into the first class. According to the (3.14) the singularity corresponds to the case $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$. In this section we also suppose $a^2 \neq 0$.

In the case we are going to deal with, not only M_{AB} but also $\tilde{G}_{ij} = (\hat{P}_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij}$ is singular. For $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$, $\hat{P}_T^1$ is the projector on the subspace orthogonal to the vector $(\tilde{a}B)^i$ [see eq.(A.9)], which can be expressed as $(\tilde{a}B G^{-1} \tilde{G})_i = 0$. So, $\det M_{AB}$, as a function of $\tilde{a}^2$, has two zeros in $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$ and we can expect to have two first class constraints.

The canonical analysis, boundary conditions and the related consistency procedure are the same as in the case $a^2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$, of Sec.3. Let us turn to the separation of the first and the second class constraints.

5.1 Classification of the constraints

According to the canonical procedure for the constrained systems, we introduce total Hamiltonian

$$H_T = \int d\sigma \mathcal{H}_T, \quad \mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_c + \lambda^i(\sigma) \Gamma_i(\sigma) + \lambda(\sigma) \Gamma(\sigma), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_c$, Γ_i and Γ are the canonical Hamiltonian and constraints defined in (3.1), (3.9) and (3.10), while λ^i and λ are Lagrange multipliers. The consistency conditions $\{H_T, \Gamma_i(\sigma)\} \approx 0$ and $\{H_T, \Gamma(\sigma)\} \approx 0$ produce

$$\Gamma'_i \approx -\kappa \tilde{G}_{ij} \lambda'^j - 2\kappa a_i \lambda', \quad \Gamma' \approx -2\kappa a_i \lambda'^i. \quad (5.2)$$

Here " $\approx$ " is a symbol for weak equality, which means that it is fulfilled on the constraints.

With the help of the projectors $(P_0)_i{}^j$, $(\hat{P}_1)_i{}^j$, and $(\hat{P}_T)_i{}^j$, defined in (A.5), (A.9) and (A.12) respectively, we decompose the Lagrange multipliers λ^i

$$\lambda^i = (\lambda_T)^i + (\lambda_1)^i + (\lambda_0)^i = (\lambda_T)^i + 2\Lambda_1 (\tilde{a}B)^i + \Lambda_2 \tilde{a}^i, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\Lambda_1 = -\frac{2}{a^2}(\lambda B a)$ and $\Lambda_2 = \frac{(a G_{eff} \lambda)}{a^2}$. Now, the consistency conditions (5.2) turn to the equations

$$(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2)' = -\frac{a^i \Gamma'_i}{2\kappa a^2}, \quad (\lambda'_T)^i = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1})^{ij} (P_T^0)_j{}^k \Gamma'_k, \quad (5.4)$$

where P_T^0 is the projector defined in (A.5). So, the coefficients Λ_1 and Λ_2 are not determined.

If we rewrite the term $\lambda^i \Gamma_i$ using the equation (5.3), we obtain

$$H_T = H_c + \int_0^\pi d\sigma \left[(\lambda_T)^i (\Gamma_T)_i + (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2) \Gamma + \Lambda_1 \Gamma_1 + \Lambda_2 \Gamma_2 \right] = H' + \int_0^\pi d\sigma (\Lambda_1 \Gamma_1 + \Lambda_2 \Gamma_2), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i\Gamma_i, \quad \Gamma_2 = \tilde{a}^i\Gamma_i - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma. \quad (5.6)$$

The constraints, Γ_1 and Γ_2 , multiplied by the arbitrary coefficients Λ_1 and Λ_2 , are of the first class, while $(\Gamma_T)_i$ and Γ , multiplied by the determined multipliers, are of the second class.

Using the algebra of the constraints (3.11), we can easily calculate

$$\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_i\} = 0, \quad \{\Gamma_1, \Gamma\} = 0, \quad \{\Gamma_2, \Gamma_i\} = 0, \quad \{\Gamma_2, \Gamma\} = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

and confirm the result that constraints Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of the first class.

Let us mark the set of second class constraints with $\chi_A = \{(\Gamma_T)_i, \Gamma\}$ and define the matrix M_{AB} with the relation $\{\chi_A, \chi_B\} = -\kappa M_{AB}\delta'$. We easily obtain

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ij} & 2a_i \\ 2a_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.8)$$

where $M_{ij} = (\hat{P}_T G_{eff})_{ij} - (P_0 G_{eff})_{ji}$. The matrix M_{AB} maps two vectors to zero

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad M \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{a}B) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

So, its rank is not greater than p . We suppose that the rest of the matrix M_{AB} is regular.

5.2 Gauge fixing and solution of the constraints

The first class constraints generate a local symmetry in the form

$$\delta X = \{X, G\}, \quad G = \int_0^\pi d\sigma (\eta_1 \Gamma_1 + \eta_2 \Gamma_2), \quad (5.10)$$

where η_1 and η_2 are the parameters of the transformations. The expressions (5.6) in terms of the open string variables have form

$$\Gamma_1 = \tilde{a}^i p_i - \frac{1}{2}p + 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i \bar{p}_i, \quad \Gamma_2 = \tilde{a}^i \bar{p}_i - \frac{1}{2}\bar{p} + 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i p_i. \quad (5.11)$$

They generate gauge transformations

$$\delta q^i = \tilde{a}^i (\eta_1)_s + 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i (\eta_2)_s, \quad \delta f = -\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1)_s, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\delta \bar{q}^i = \tilde{a}^i (\eta_2)_a + 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i (\eta_1)_a, \quad \delta \bar{f} = -\frac{1}{2}(\eta_2)_a, \quad (5.13)$$

where the indices "s" and "a" denote σ symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the parameters η_1 and η_2 . The gauge transformations of the coordinate components are of the forms

$$\delta(q_1)^i = 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i \eta_{2s}, \quad \delta(q_0)^i = \tilde{a}^i \eta_{1s}, \quad \delta(q_T)^i = 0, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\delta(\bar{q}_1)^i = 2(\tilde{a}BG^{-1})^i\eta_{1a}, \quad \delta(\bar{q}_0)^i = \tilde{a}^i\eta_{2a}, \quad \delta(\bar{q}_T)^i = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

To fix the gauge corresponding to the parameters η_{2s} , η_{1a} , η_{1s} and η_{2a} , we choose

$$(q_1)^i = 0, \quad (\bar{q}_1)^i = 0, \quad f = 0, \quad \bar{f} = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

which are equivalent to

$$(aB)_i q^i = 0, \quad (aB)_i \bar{q}^i = 0, \quad f = 0, \quad \bar{f} = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

The set of the first class constraints and gauge conditions behave like a set of the second class constraints. The original second class constraints together with first class constraints (5.11) cause that full expressions Γ_i and Γ vanish

$$2(BP_T^0)_i{}^j p_j + \frac{1}{a^2}(Ba)_i p - \kappa \tilde{G}_{ij} \bar{q}^j - 2\kappa a_i \bar{f} = 0, \quad \bar{p}_i = 0, \quad \bar{p} = 0, \quad a_i \bar{q}^i = 0. \quad (5.18)$$

The complete set of the equations consists of (5.16), (5.18) and remained consequences of the first class constraints

$$(\tilde{a}B)^i p_i = 0, \quad p = 2\tilde{a}^i p_i. \quad (5.19)$$

Choosing integration constant $\bar{q}^i(\sigma = 0) = 0$ we obtain solution

$$x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = \hat{Q}^i(\sigma) - 2\Theta^{ij} \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 \hat{P}_j(\sigma_1), \quad \pi_i^{D_p} = \hat{P}_i, \quad x_1 = 0, \quad \pi_1 = 0, \quad (5.20)$$

$$F = 0, \quad \pi = 2\tilde{a}^i \hat{P}_i, \quad (5.21)$$

expressed in terms of the open string variables

$$(q_T^1)^i = (\hat{P}_T^1)^i{}_j q^j \equiv \hat{Q}^i, \quad (p_T^1)_i = (\hat{P}_T^1)_i{}^j p_j \equiv \hat{P}_i. \quad (5.22)$$

The tensor Θ^{ij}

$$\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}(G_{eff}^{-1}\hat{P}_T^1 B G^{-1}\hat{P}_T^1)^{ij}, \quad (5.23)$$

is manifestly antisymmetric.

5.3 Effective theory

Similarly as in subsection 4.3, we define the effective current

$$\tilde{j}_{\pm i} = \hat{P}_i \pm \kappa(\hat{P}_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij} \hat{Q}^j, \quad (5.24)$$

and correlate it with the currents $j_{\pm i}^*$ (4.4) and $i_{\pm}^\Phi$ (3.5)

$$j_{\pm i}^* = \pm 2(\Pi_{\pm} G_{eff}^{-1})_i{}^j \tilde{j}_{\pm j} - 4(G_{eff}^{-1}\Pi_{\pm}\hat{P}_1 B)^{ij} p_j, \quad i_{\pm}^\Phi = 2\tilde{a}^i \tilde{j}_{\pm i}. \quad (5.25)$$

We are going to express the Hamiltonian H' , defined in (5.5), in terms of the open string variables. It is enough to do this for canonical Hamiltonian because we already solved the second class constraints.

The energy-momentum components (3.2) can be rewritten in terms of $j_{\pm i}^*$ and $i_{\pm}^{\Phi}$ as

$$T_{\pm} = \mp \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left[G^{ij} j_{\pm i}^* j_{\pm j}^* - \frac{(a^i j_{\pm i}^*)^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^i j_{\pm i}^* i_{\pm}^{\Phi}}{a^2} - \frac{1}{4a^2} i_{\pm}^{\Phi} i_{\pm}^{\Phi} \right]. \quad (5.26)$$

Substituting the relations (5.25) in the expression for energy-momentum tensor we obtain

$$T_{\pm} = \tilde{T}_{\pm}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c = \tilde{T}_- - \tilde{T}_+ \equiv \mathcal{H}_c, \quad (5.27)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{\pm} = \mp \frac{1}{4\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1} \hat{P}_T^1)^{ij} \tilde{j}_{\pm i} \tilde{j}_{\pm j}. \quad (5.28)$$

In terms of effective variables $\hat{Q}^i$ and $\hat{P}_j$, the effective theory lives in the background $G_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij}^{eff} = (\hat{P}_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij}$, $B_{ij} \rightarrow 0$, $\Phi \rightarrow 0$, and does not explicitly depend on antisymmetric and dilaton fields.

5.4 Noncommutativity

Using the algebra of the variables q^i and p_j (3.25), we can calculate the Poisson brackets of the open string variables $\hat{Q}^i$ and $\hat{P}_j$

$$\left\{ \hat{Q}^i(\sigma), \hat{P}_j(\bar{\sigma}) \right\} = (\hat{P}_T^1)^i_j \delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}), \quad (5.29)$$

where $\delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})$ is defined in equation (3.26).

As in the two previous cases, with the help of the equations (5.20), after separation of the center of mass variables, we obtain

$$\{X_{D_p}^i(\tau, \sigma), X_{D_p}^j(\tau, \bar{\sigma})\} = \Theta^{ij} \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad (5.30)$$

where antisymmetric tensor Θ^{ij} and the function $\Delta(x)$ are defined in (5.23) and (3.34), respectively. We can easily conclude that the interior of the string is commutative.

Let us discuss more precisely the noncommutativity of the string endpoints. From (5.20) and (5.21) we conclude that x_1 and F satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions and decrease the number of Dp-brane dimensions from $p+2$ to p . The projection on the Dp-brane is realized by operator $\hat{P}_T^1$, (A.9). Similarly as in the subsection 4.3, we have $a_i \hat{Q}^i \neq 0$ but $a_i \Theta^{ij} = 0$ so that $x_c \equiv a_i x_{D_p}^i = a_i \hat{Q}^i$ does not depend on the momenta and, consequently, it is commutative Dp-brane coordinate. All other $p-1$ Dp-brane coordinates are noncommutative.

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have considered the contribution of the linear dilaton field to noncommutativity in some specific cases when the dilaton gradient a_i is a light-like vector either with respect to the closed string metric, $a^2 = 0$, or to the open string one, $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$. We analyzed three cases: 1. $a^2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$, 2. $a^2 = 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$ and 3. $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$ and $a^2 \neq 0$. In all cases we treat the conformal part of the world sheet metric, F , as a dynamical variable.

The first case has been considered in ref.[4]. The Dp-brane coordinate, in the direction of the dilaton gradient a_i , is commutative and F takes the role of a new noncommutative coordinate. So, there is one commutative and $p + 1$ noncommutative coordinates.

In the second case, the condition $a^2 = 0$ produces one first class constraint. In the third case, as a consequence of the condition $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$, two second class constraints turn to the first ones. In both cases, the first class constraints generate local symmetries and after gauge fixing, the gauge conditions and the first class constraints can be treated as second class constraints. Solving them together with the original second class constraints we obtain effective coordinates and momenta describing the string. In both cases, Dp-brane is p-dimensional with one commutative coordinate, in the direction of the dilaton gradient a_i , and $p - 1$ noncommutative coordinates.

Let us discuss general features of the solutions (3.18)-(3.19), (4.26) and (5.20)

$$x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = Q^i(\sigma) - 2\Theta^{ij} \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 P_j(\sigma_1), \quad (6.1)$$

expressing closed string variables $x_{D_p}^i = (P_{D_p})^i_j x^j$ in terms of open string ones and corresponding momenta

$$Q^i = (P_{D_p})^i_j q^j, \quad P_i = (P_{D_p})_i^j p_j. \quad (6.2)$$

The variables Q^i and P_i satisfy the algebra

$$\{Q^i(\sigma), P_j(\bar{\sigma})\} = (P_{D_p})^i_j \delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}), \quad (6.3)$$

where P_{D_p} is unity in the Dp-brane subspace (see Table I).

Some closed string components vanish on the solution of constraints, because the projections of both the open string coordinates and noncommutativity parameter vanish. It means that corresponding components are fixed and effectively satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions. The other components, as x_1 in the second case, are different from zero, but also satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions. Consequently, in both cases the number of Dp-brane dimensions decreases. In fact, first class constraints turn some Neuman to Dirichlet boundary conditions, so that, after carefully carried calculations, the true dimension of the Dp-brane is $D_{Dp} = 2p + 4 - 2N_{FCC} - N_{SCC}$, where N_{FCC} is the number of the first class constraints and N_{SCC} the number of the second class ones.

If the closed string components contain only the open string coordinates, while the momenta are absent, they are commutative degrees of freedom. In all cases, in a direction defined by the vector a_i , the noncommutativity parameter Θ^{ij} is singular, $a_i \Theta^{ij} = 0$, so the effective momentum disappears from $a_i x_{D_p}^i$. The corresponding effective coordinate is nonzero, $a_i Q^i \neq 0$, and consequently, one commutative coordinate $x_c = a_i x_{D_p}^i$ appears.

The closed string components $(x_{nc})^i$, containing both the open string coordinates and momenta, are the noncommutative degrees of freedom. The noncommutativity relation has the same form in all three cases

$$\{X_{D_p}^i(\tau, \sigma), X_{D_p}^j(\tau, \bar{\sigma})\} = \Theta^{ij} \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad [X_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) - (x_{D_p}^i)_{cm}]. \quad (6.4)$$

When a_i is not light-like vector the parameter Θ^{ij} is given in (3.22), while in the other two cases, when a_i is light-like vector, it can be expressed in terms of projectors P_{D_p}

$$\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1} P_{D_p} B G^{-1} P_{D_p})^{ij}. \quad (6.5)$$

The number of the noncommutative coordinates, N_{nc} , is difference of Dp-brane dimension and the number of the commutative coordinates, $N_{nc} = D_{Dp} - 1$. All results are presented in the table **I**

Case	N_{FCC}	N_{SCC}	D_{Dp}	$(P_{D_p})_i^j$	VDbc	$(x_{nc})^i$	x_c	g_{ij}^{eff}
$\tilde{a}^2 \neq 0, a^2 \neq 0$	0	p+2	p+2	δ_i^j	-	$(\Pi_T^0 x)^i, F$	x_0	$\tilde{G}_{ij}$
$a^2 = 0, \tilde{a}^2 \neq 0$	1	p+2	p	$(P_T^1)_i^j$	x_1, F	$(P_T x)^i$	x_0	$(P_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij}$
$\tilde{a}^2 = 0, a^2 \neq 0$	2	p	p	$(\hat{P}_T^1)_i^j$	x_1, F	$(\hat{P}_T x)^i$	x_0	$(\hat{P}_T^1 G_{eff})_{ij}$

where **VDbc** means variables with Dirichlet boundary condition. The projectors $\Pi_T^0, P_T, \hat{P}_T, P_T^1$ and $\hat{P}_T^1$ as well as the coordinate projections (x_0, x_1) are defined in Appendix.

In all cases the effective energy-momentum tensor $\tilde{T}_{\pm}$ satisfy Virasoro algebra. Consequently, the effective theory is a string theory propagating in the open string background $G_{ij} \rightarrow (g_{eff})_{ij}$, $B_{ij} \rightarrow 0$. The dilaton field survives only in the first case, again as a linear dilaton, $\Phi = \Phi_0 + a_i q^i$.

The initial string, to which we refer as closed, is an oriented string. An effective one, on the solution of boundary conditions, is unoriented because it is symmetric under $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$. It is well known, that an unoriented string does not contain explicitly Kalb-Ramond field, because the term $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu$ is not invariant under transformation $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$. It explains the fact that in all cases the antisymmetric field disappears from the effective background, $B_{ij} \rightarrow 0$. It can survive only as bilinear combination, which indeed occurs as a part of the effective metric.

At the end let us discuss the contribution of the Liouville term which offers a new viewpoint of the results of this paper. It is known from ref.[7] that $\beta_{\mu\nu}^G = 0 = \beta_{\mu\nu}^B$ produce

c-number value of the third beta-function playing the role of Schwinger term, $\beta^\Phi = c$. In that case for $D = 26$ the central charge, $c = 4a^2$, breaks conformal invariance. In order to cancel the conformal anomaly the corresponding Wess-Zumino term can be added to the action (2.6). In the particular case this is just Liouville action

$$S_L = \frac{2\kappa}{\alpha} \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha F \partial_\beta F, \quad \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\alpha} = \frac{\hbar(D-26)}{48\pi} \right) \quad (6.6)$$

which is kinetic term for conformal part of the metric, F . After change the variables, $F \rightarrow {}^*F = F + \frac{\alpha}{2} a_i x^i$, the term with linear dilaton field disappears but at the same time the space-time metric obtains new term $G_{ij} \rightarrow {}^*G_{ij} = G_{ij} - \alpha a_i a_j$. It seems that linear dilaton field does not change anything, because the new conformal factor *F decouples and we obtain the standard action without dilaton field. But, it is not complete story, because new space-time metric ${}^*G_{ij}$ becomes singular and produces the gauge symmetry in the theory. It is easy to check that for $a^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ the vector a^i is singular vector of the metric ${}^*G_{ij}$.

We carefully investigate all three cases when the Liouville action is included. We find that all important results, such as the form of the solution

$${}^*x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = {}^*Q^i(\sigma) - 2{}^*\Theta^{ij} \int_0^\sigma d\sigma_1 {}^*P_j(\sigma_1), \quad (6.7)$$

$${}^*Q^i = ({}^*P_{D_p})^i_j q^j, \quad {}^*P_i = ({}^*P_{D_p})_i^j p_j, \quad \{ {}^*Q^i(\sigma), {}^*P_j(\bar{\sigma}) \} = ({}^*P_{D_p})^i_j \delta_s(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}), \quad (6.8)$$

the dimensions of Dp-brane, the number of commutative and noncommutative variables and the noncommutativity relation

$$\{ {}^*X_{D_p}^i(\tau, \sigma), {}^*X_{D_p}^j(\tau, \bar{\sigma}) \} = {}^*\Theta^{ij} \Delta(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}), \quad \left[{}^*X_{D_p}^i(\sigma) = {}^*x_{D_p}^i(\sigma) - ({}^*x_{D_p}^i)_{cm} \right], \quad (6.9)$$

are the same as in the absence of Liouville action. In both cases where a_i is light-like vector either with respect to ${}^*G_{ij}$ or ${}^*G_{ij}^{eff}$, the noncommutativity parameters can be expressed as

$${}^*\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1} {}^*P_{D_p} B G^{-1} {}^*P_{D_p})^{ij}, \quad (6.10)$$

where projectors ${}^*P_{D_p}$ are defined in the table **II** and in the equations (A.14) and (A.16). In the first case, where a_i is not light-like vector, the noncommutativity parameter takes the form

$${}^*\Theta^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} ({}^*G_{eff}^{-1} B {}^*G^{-1})^{ij} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{eff}^{-1} \check{\Pi}_T^0 B G^{-1} \check{\Pi}_T^0)^{ij}, \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$(\check{\Pi}_T^0)_i^j = (\Pi_T^0)_i^j + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \tilde{a}^2} (\Pi_0)_i^j, \quad (6.12)$$

and Π_T^0 and Π_0 are defined in (A.6).

The only differences produced by Liouville term are that local gauge symmetries appear for $a^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{a}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ instead for $a^2 = 0$ and $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$ and that some variables change the roles, $x_0 \rightarrow {}^*F$ and $F \rightarrow x_0$. So, instead of x_0 , the variable *F is commutative. In the first case x_0 is noncommutative instead of F and in the second and third case x_0 satisfies the Dirichlet boundary conditions instead of F . Results of these investigations are presented in the table **II** and will be published separately. The projectors $({}^*P_T)_i^j$ and $({}^*\hat{P}_T)_i^j$ are defined in (A.14) and (A.16).

Case	N_{FCC}	N_{SCC}	D_{Dp}	$({}^*P_{Dp})_i^j$	VDbc	$({}^*x_{nc})^i$	*x_c
$\tilde{a}^2 \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}, a^2 \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$	0	p+2	p+2	δ_i^j	-	x^i	*F
$a^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \tilde{a}^2 \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$	1	p+2	p	$({}^*P_T)_i^j$	x_0, x_1	$({}^*P_T x)^i$	*F
$\tilde{a}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}, a^2 \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$	2	p	p	$({}^*\hat{P}_T)_i^j$	x_0, x_1	$({}^*\hat{P}_T x)^i$	*F

After quantization, Poisson brackets (6.4) and (6.9) turn to the commutation relations. Now, we are ready to comment whether the conformal symmetry survives on the quantum level at the endpoints of the open string. The contribution of the field F to the open string theory is nontrivial only in the first case, where it becomes a noncommutative variable instead of x_0 . In other two cases it disappears (see Table **I**). So, if in addition to space-time field equations (2.2)-(2.4), we require either $a^2 = 0$ or $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$, the open string theory will be conformally invariant. In the presence of Liouville term the corresponding variable *F decouples from the theory and has the role of commutative coordinate (see Table **II**). In that case the equations (2.2)-(2.3) are enough for conformal invariance of the open string theory.

A Projectors

In this appendix we introduce projector operators in order to separate noncommutative and nonphysical variables on Dp-brane as well as to express the noncommutativity parameter.

The projectors on the direction n_i and on the subspace orthogonal to vector n_i are

$$(\Pi)_i^j = \frac{n_i n^j}{n^2}, \quad (\Pi_T)_i^j = \delta_i^j - (\Pi)_i^j, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $n^i = g^{ij} n_j$ and $n^2 = n^i n_i$. The transposed operator is

$$\Pi_j^i = g^{ik} \Pi_k^l g_{lj}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and similarly for Π_T . Using these projectors we can decompose arbitrary covariant vectors

$$\pi_i = (\pi_L)_i + (\pi_T)_i, \quad (\pi_L)_i = (\Pi)_i^j \pi_j, \quad (\pi_T)_i = (\Pi_T)_i^j \pi_j, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and contravariant ones

$$x^i = (x_L)^i + (x_T)^i, \quad (x_L)^i = (\Pi)^i_j x^j, \quad (x_T)^i = (\Pi_T)^i_j x^j. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

We are going to apply this procedure to few cases choosing particular vectors n_i and metrics g_{ij} .

A.1 Case $n_i = a_i$ and $g_{ij} = G_{ij}$

For $n_i \rightarrow (n_0)_i = a_i$ and $g_{ij} \rightarrow G_{ij}$ we obtain

$$(\Pi)_i^j \rightarrow (P_0)_i^j = \frac{a_i a^j}{a^2}, \quad (\Pi_T)_i^j \rightarrow (P_T^0)_i^j = \delta_i^j - (P_0)_i^j. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

A.2 Case $n_i = a_i$ and $g = G_{ij}^{eff}$

For the same vector $n_i \rightarrow (n_0)_i = a_i$, but using the effective metric $g_{ij} \rightarrow G_{ij}^{eff}$, we have

$$(\Pi)_i^j \rightarrow (\Pi_0)_i^j = \frac{a_i \tilde{a}^j}{\tilde{a}^2}, \quad (\Pi_T)_i^j \rightarrow (\Pi_T^0)_i^j = \delta_i^j - (\Pi_0)_i^j. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

A.3 Case $n_i = (aB)_i$ and $g_{ij} = G_{ij}^{eff}$

Applying the same procedure, for vector $n_i \rightarrow (n_1)_i = (aB)_i$ and $g_{ij} \rightarrow G_{ij}^{eff}$, we have

$$(\Pi)_i^j \rightarrow (\Pi_1)_i^j = \frac{4}{\tilde{a}^2 - a^2} (Ba)_i (\tilde{a}B)^j, \quad (\Pi_T)_i^j \rightarrow (\Pi_T^1)_i^j = \delta_i^j - (\Pi_1)_i^j. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We introduce new notation for the cases where a_i is light-like vector with respect to G_{ij} ($a^2 = 0$)

$$(P_1)_i^j = (\Pi_1)_i^j \Big|_{a^2=0} = \frac{4}{\tilde{a}^2} (Ba)_i (\tilde{a}B)^j, \quad (P_T^1)_i^j = (\Pi_T^1)_i^j \Big|_{a^2=0} = \delta_i^j - (P_1)_i^j, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and with respect to the effective metric G_{ij}^{eff} ($\tilde{a}^2 = 0$)

$$(\hat{P}_1)_i^j = (\Pi_1)_i^j \Big|_{\tilde{a}^2=0} = -\frac{4}{a^2} (Ba)_i (\tilde{a}B)^j, \quad (\hat{P}_T^1)_i^j = (\Pi_T^1)_i^j \Big|_{\tilde{a}^2=0} = \delta_i^j - (\hat{P}_1)_i^j. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.4 Case $(n_0)_i = a_i$ and $(n_1)_i = (aB)_i$ and $g_{ij} = G_{ij}^{eff}$

Let us construct the projector orthogonal to the vectors $(n_0)_i = a_i$ and $(n_1)_i = (aB)_i$ with respect to the effective metric G_{ij}^{eff} . These two vectors are mutually orthogonal and it is enough to use the constructed projectors on the direction a_i , (A.6), and on the direction $(aB)_i$, (A.7), to construct the projector orthogonal on them

$$(\Pi_T)_i^j = \delta_i^j - (\Pi_0)_i^j - (\Pi_1)_i^j. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

For $a^2 = 0$, we have

$$(P_T)_i{}^j = (\Pi_T)_i{}^j \Big|_{a^2=0} = \delta_i{}^j - (\Pi_0)_i{}^j - (P_1)_i{}^j. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

For $\tilde{a}^2 = 0$, Π_0 and Π_T are singular, but it is useful to introduce projector

$$(\hat{P}_T)_i{}^j = \delta_i{}^j - (P_0)_i{}^j - (\hat{P}_1)_i{}^j. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

In the case when $a^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ we have

$$(*P_1)_i{}^j = (\Pi_1)_i{}^j \Big|_{a^2=\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{4\alpha}{\alpha\tilde{a}^2 - 1} (Ba)_i (\tilde{a}B)^j, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$(*P_T)_i{}^j = (\Pi_T)_i{}^j \Big|_{a^2=\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \delta_i{}^j - (*P_0)_i{}^j - (*P_1)_i{}^j, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

and similarly for $\tilde{a}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ we get

$$(*\hat{P}_0)_i{}^j = (\Pi_0)_i{}^j \Big|_{\tilde{a}^2=\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \alpha a_i \tilde{a}^j, \quad (*\hat{P}_1)_i{}^j = (\Pi_1)_i{}^j \Big|_{\tilde{a}^2=\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{4\alpha}{1 - \alpha a^2} (Ba)_i (\tilde{a}B)^j, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$(*\hat{P}_T)_i{}^j = (\Pi_T)_i{}^j \Big|_{\tilde{a}^2=\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \delta_i{}^j - (*\hat{P}_0)_i{}^j - (*\hat{P}_1)_i{}^j. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

It is useful to introduce following notation for the projections of vectors x^i and π_i

$$x_0 = (n_0)_i x^i = a_i x^i, \quad x_1 = (n_1)_i x^i = (aB)_i x^i, \quad \pi_0 = \tilde{n}_0^i \pi_i = \tilde{a}^i \pi_i, \quad \pi_1 = \tilde{n}_1^i \pi_i = (\tilde{a}B)^i \pi_i. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

References

- [1] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, *JHEP* **02** (1998) 003; M. R. Douglas and C. Hull, *JHEP* **02** (1998) 008; V. Schomerus, *JHEP* **06** (1999) 030; F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *JHEP* **02** (1999) 016; C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, *Nucl. Phys.* **B550** (1999) 151; N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *JHEP* **09** (1999) 032; F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari *Nucl. Phys.* **B576** (2000) 578; C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, *Nucl. Phys.* **B568** (2000) 447; T. Lee, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 024022.
- [2] M. Li, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1644; A. Rajaraman and M. Rozali, *JHEP* **12** (1999) 005.
- [3] S. Bhattacharyya, A. Kumar and S. Mahapatra, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16** (2001) 2263.
- [4] B. Sazdović, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C44** (2005) 599.

- [5] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1987; J. Polchinski, *String theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [6] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett. B* **158** (1985) 316; *Nucl. Phys. B* **261** (1985) 1.
- [7] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and M. J. Perry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **262** (1985) 593; T. Banks, D. Nemeschansky and A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys. B* **277** (1986) 67.
- [8] B. Sazdović, *IJMP* **A20** (2005) 5501.
- [9] B. Sazdović, *Phys. Lett. B* **352** (1995) 64.
- [10] M. Blagojević and I. A. Nikolić, *Phys. Rev. D* **28** (1983) 2455.
- [11] B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, *Extended space-time and noncommutativity by geometrical tools*.